THE SECOND ACT

To do:
Unlock federal funds for new projects
Sort crumbling public buildings
Fix country's waterways
Develop broadband network
Talk about infrastructure bill

With all the talk of President Donald Trump's infrastructure plans dominating the headlines, Dan Colombini takes a look at how the Performance-Based Building Coalition's work may end up being the driver for real P3 growth in the coming years.
Discerning what President Donald Trump’s proposed infrastructure bill will include has almost become a full-time job in itself of late. It has certainly played a huge part in his ultimately successful campaign and beyond, with many continuing to wait on tenterhooks to see what direction this new federal government is going to take.

Since Trump’s inauguration at the beginning of the year, there has been much to-ing and fro-ing around the so-called $1tn bill coming out of the White House, but – as always – the devil will be in the detail.

The problem with this administration so far, however, is that there has tended to be very little detail and far too much hot air, making attempts to second guess Trump’s next move quite tricky beyond the soundbites around tax reform and mystery P3 projects.

One area where there is bipartisan agreement in an increasingly politically divided country, is the major need for federal action to address the concerning standard of infrastructure in the US. Trump has tuned into that concern and will hopefully now move to deliver a long-term plan. And with the Senate Democrats also pushing a different $1tn bill, the need to act has never been clearer.

Quite what role these will have in the development of P3s is another debate. The exact purpose of the feds in growing a pipeline of deals is a complex one, hence the importance of the real intricacies of Trump’s plans.

After all, it is a known fact that state level procurement is what is likely to drive US P3s going forward. This leaves a grey area as to where the federal government can have the biggest impact.

“It is not enough to simply talk about a commitment, we need to know the detail and what exactly will be coming from Washington,” says one leading investor. “The federal government is increasingly being seen as a resource or facilitator and actually find a real way to capitalize on the potential of P3s and private investment in infrastructure. While the world debates the impact that Trump may have in a number of areas, there is growing support within the industry for other avenues to kickstart pipelines – at whatever level that may be.

As the clock continues to tick on a wider federal proposal, there already exists a plan within Congress that could have demonstrable effects on the market should it gain the necessary traction to become law.

Namely, the proposals set forward by the Performance-Based Building Coalition (PBBC). The bill, known as the Public Buildings Renewal Act (S 326) in the Senate, sets out $5bn in private activity bonds (PABs) for public buildings such as schools, colleges, correctional facilities and courthouses. With the House version of the bill, known as HR 960, also in Congress, it represents a comprehensive plan of action from the industry.

After being introduced on February 7, the proposals have now been referred to the Senate Finance and the House Ways and Means Committees. The senate bill is sponsored by Senators Dean Heller of Nevada, Bill Nelson of Florida, Cory Gardner and Michael Bennet of Colorado and Bill Cassidy of Los Angeles. The House version is sponsored by Pennsylvania’s Mike Kelly.

According to the PBBC, to date almost all transportation P3s in the US have successfully accessed federal financing, with 75% taking advantage of PABs. More than $36bn of transportation deals have therefore moved forward throughout the nation since 2008. This means that, all in all, these projects have enjoyed cost savings of up to $5bn due to the availability of the tax-exempt bonds and the lower interest rates they carry.
Under the Public Buildings Renewal Act, state and local governments would also have a chance to submit a detailed funding application to the Treasury Department. Should the department approve that allocation of funds, the funding sources would have to issue the bonds within two years. Any allocated funding that is not used during the two-year period would go back to the federal government.

The bipartisan support is almost unprecedented for such a proposal, reflecting the growing acknowledgment from within Congress of the potentially positive ramifications of the bill and its ability to harness the options for state and local governments to develop pipelines deeper than large transportation projects.

So, amid all the rhetoric from the Trump administration, it might just be that the feds have the answer right under their noses.

“Our bill will channel a new stream of P3 financing into local communities for the ultimate goal of restoring public infrastructure from coast to coast,” Kelly explained when the bill was introduced. “It will take advantage of private sector efficiency to create jobs and save taxpayer money by streamlining the delivery, design, and construction of these projects.

“This legislation became more urgent than ever for me after I visited several of our district’s schools last year and saw the unacceptable damage up close. When public places like schools, hospitals, and court houses are allowed to crumble, the people they serve suffer, especially students.”

The goal of the PBBC is to now see its proposals added to the larger plans being touted by the
fed's, supplementing and adding another string to the bow of the country’s infrastructure overhaul, which so far seems overly geared to transportation.

“If the president does put out an infrastructure bill then this would fit nicely in what he appears to be proposing, since his proposals have a bedrock of facilitating private investment in public infrastructure,” says PBBC founder and senior vice president and development director of Aecom Capital, Samara Barend.

“A bill like this could have trouble getting passed on its own as it is smaller than those usually passed in Congress, so the plan is to get it included in a major piece of legislation to get through. That is our strategy right now.”

The bill has now been socialized with top leaders of the administration and support is growing fast. On top of the existing support at congressional level, industry backing for the plans is almost universal, perhaps for obvious reasons. After all, the evidence of the influence PABs have had on the transportation pipeline is palpable. Should the same playing field be afforded to social deals and the wider pipeline, it could be this that finally unlocks the potential of the US market.

“One of the things the federal government can really do to help this industry now is to enact the re-introduced legislation proposed by the PBBC,” says Dale Bonner, executive chairman of Plenary Concessions. “We have been pushing for years to be able to get private activity bonds used for public buildings and this legislation can do that, which could really open up the market.

“We are hopeful that this can be included as part of, or at least alongside, what is coming out of Washington, DC.”

However, one concern is that this is not the first time the PBBC has pushed this bill. Originally introduced in 2015, the organization had hoped to see the plans included in the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act (FAST) Act. But this was not to be.

Some sources have revealed that this was perhaps a reflection of a clash of interest between the P3 industry and what Congress felt was required to improve infrastructure for the wider nation. Alternatively, it could also be argued that this was continued evidence of the suspicion that surrounds the use of P3s in the US – highlighting the need for the ongoing education to demonstrate where the model can be effective.

Barend, though, is confident that this time could be different.

“I would argue that we now have more Ways and Means co-sponsors than any bill that has been introduced in this session,” she adds.

“A lot of this has been attributed to the fact that we have been able to show such a low score from the joint committee on taxation. Republicans and Democrats are inclined to support P3 but I think there tends to be opposition to bills that have high scores, as it appears that they will cost money.

“But since our bill has a negligible budget score it has been extraordinarily helpful. Our chances are strong this session.”

The latest count shows that there are now 17 co-sponsors on both bills, supporting Barend’s view that 2017 could be the PBBC’s year. Having strong support on the committees of jurisdiction will help the plans to get included in the wider bill. The hope will then be that the lead sponsors are able to muscle the plans through.

As a result, Barend is calling on yet more industry support to get the initiative over the line.

With the question so often asked surrounding what the private sector can do to make its voice heard to further P3s, there finally now seems a genuine avenue for real change.

“We welcome anyone interested in the US social infrastructure P3 market to join us – be a participant, not a spectator,” urges Barend. “Now is the time for action from all of us. We need the help of all market participants to get this over the finish line.”